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#### Abstract

We examine two sets of second-degree Painlevé equations derived by Chazy in 1909, denoted by systems (II) and (III). The last member of each set is a second-degree version of the Painlevé-VI equation, and there are no other second-order second-degree Painlevé equations in the polynomial class with this property. We map the last member of system (II) into the Fokas-Yortsos equation and demonstrate how both Schlesinger and Okamoto transformations for Painlevé-VI can be read off the Chazy equation. The 24 fundamental Schlesinger transformations were known to Garnier in 1943 while the 64 Okamoto transformations date from 1987. In an appendix, we gather together the solutions of the five members of system (II). System (III) is better known, being equivalent to Jimbo and Miwa's equations for the logarithmic derivatives of the tau functions of the six Painlevé transcendents. The last member, known to Painlevé in 1906, was written in a manifestly symmetric form by Jimbo and Miwa, suggesting many induced symmetries for Painlevé-VI. In particular, Schlesinger and Okamoto transformations for Painlevé-VI can be read off immediately.


PACS number: 02.30.Jr

## 1. Introduction

In a short paper, Chazy (1909) tantalized his readers with two intriguing sets of second-degree differential equations. He began by writing down the six classical Painlevé equations, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}, \ldots$, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, exactly as they had appeared in Painlevé (1906), which is the first time that they had been gathered together into a list. Chazy denoted them by system (I). With variables renamed but Painlevé's original parameters retained, system (I) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& w^{\prime \prime}=6 w^{2}+t  \tag{1.1}\\
& w^{\prime \prime}=2 w^{3}+t w+\alpha  \tag{1.2}\\
& w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{w}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{t} w^{\prime}+\gamma w^{3}+\frac{\alpha}{t} w^{2}+\frac{\beta}{t}+\frac{\delta}{w}, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime \prime}= & \frac{1}{2 w}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{2} w^{3}+4 t w^{2}+2\left(t^{2}-\alpha\right) w+\frac{\beta}{w}  \tag{1.4}\\
w^{\prime \prime}= & \left\{\frac{1}{2 w}+\frac{1}{w-1}\right\}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{t} w^{\prime}+\frac{(w-1)^{2}}{t^{2}}\left\{\alpha w+\frac{\beta}{w}\right\}+\frac{\gamma w}{t}+\frac{\delta w(w+1)}{w-1},  \tag{1.5}\\
w^{\prime \prime}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{w}+\frac{1}{w-1}+\frac{1}{w-t}\right\}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left\{\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t-1}+\frac{1}{w-t}\right\} w^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{w(w-1)(w-t)}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}\left\{\alpha+\beta \frac{t}{w^{2}}+\gamma \frac{t-1}{(w-1)^{2}}+\delta \frac{t(t-1)}{(w-t)^{2}}\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Unless otherwise stated, a prime will denote $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$ throughout this paper. In general, we will use Leibniz' notation for differentiation with respect to other variables.

The first known appearance of each of these equations is as follows: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ appear in Painlevé (1898a); $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$ in Painlevé (1898b); $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ in Gambier (1906a, 1906b); $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ in Gambier (1906c) together with an alternative derivation of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$; and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ in Fuchs (1905). The Fuchs paper is cited by both Gambier (1906c) and Painlevé (1906). An elementary special case of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ was known to Painlevé (1902a). An elementary special case of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ was known to Picard (1889) and Painlevé (1893).

The discovery of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ by Fuchs was the first indication that Painlevé's own classification of second-order first-degree differential equations (Painlevé 1902a) was not complete. Gambier (1906a, 1906b, 1906c, 1907a, 1907b, 1910) reopened the investigation and completed the classification. He produced a list of 50 equations, the first 20 of which were in Painlevé (1902a). Gambier's list, with minor permutations and gauge changes, is the well-known list in Ince's classic textbook (Ince 1926). Gambier placed $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ second-last in 49th position, and saved the last position for a beautiful equation containing three $P_{I}$ functions in its coefficients. Ince permuted Gambier's last three equations, placing $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ and the latter equation in positions L and XLVIII, respectively, this being a more natural ordering from the point of view of Painlevé classification.

For later convenience, we introduce Jimbo and Miwa's notation for the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ coefficient parameters (Jimbo and Miwa 1981, Jimbo 1982):
$\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{\infty}-1\right)^{2}, \quad \beta=-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{0}^{2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1}^{2}, \quad \delta=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\theta_{t}^{2}\right)$.
Also, we will often speak of equations being equivalent to each other under some unspecified gauge transformation. The standard group of gauge transformations acting on Painlevé-type ordinary differential equations is the group of Möbius transformations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=\frac{a(t) w+b(t)}{c(t) w+d(t)}, \quad \bar{t}=\phi(t) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the independent variable, $w$ is the dependent variable, $a d-b c \neq 0$ and $\phi(t)$ is not constant. Because the second-degree equations appearing in this paper are all in the polynomial class, the gauge transformations acting on them consist of the subgroup of linear transformations with $c=0$ and $d=1$. As was well known to the earliest authors, the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation is invariant under a discrete group of 24 Möbius transformations of the form (1.8) which permute the distinguished values $w=\infty, 0,1$ and $t$. When working with $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, it is useful to have a complete table on hand. To save space, we split it into two subgroups. The first consists of the identity and the following three involutions having $\bar{t}=t$ :

$$
\bar{w}=\frac{t}{w}, \quad \begin{cases}\bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{0}+1, & \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{\infty}-1,  \tag{1.9}\\ \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{t}, & \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{1},\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{w}=\frac{w-t}{w-1}, & \begin{cases}\bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{1}+1, & \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{t} \\
\bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{\infty}-1, & \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{0}\end{cases} \\
\bar{w}=\frac{t(w-1)}{w-t}, & \begin{cases}\bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{t}+1, & \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{1} \\
\bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{0}, & \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{\infty}-1 .\end{cases} \tag{1.11}
\end{array}
$$

The second subgroup consists of the identity and the following five transformations having $\bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{\infty}$ :
$\bar{w}=1-w, \quad \bar{t}=1-t, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{0}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{t}$,
$\bar{w}=w / t, \quad \bar{t}=1 / t, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{0}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{1}$,
$\bar{w}=\frac{w-t}{1-t}, \quad \bar{t}=\frac{t}{t-1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{0}$,
$\bar{w}=\frac{1-w}{1-t}, \quad \bar{t}=\frac{1}{1-t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{0}$,
$\bar{w}=\frac{t-w}{t}, \quad \bar{t}=\frac{t-1}{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{0}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{1}$.
In this paper, we do not consider quadratic or other algebraic transformations in $w$ that are only admitted by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ with suitably restricted parameters.

The first of the aforementioned systems of second-degree Chazy equations is his system (II):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-6 v^{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}=z^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-4 v^{3}-2 \alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right\}  \tag{1.17}\\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-2 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=-4\left(v-\mathrm{e}^{z}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-v^{4}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\}  \tag{1.18}\\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{4 v^{2}}{z^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\}  \tag{1.19}\\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-6 v^{2}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{2 v}{z}-z\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-4 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\}  \tag{1.20}\\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-2 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=4 \tan ^{2} z\left(v-\frac{\delta_{1}}{\sin z}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-v^{4}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we have renamed variables, but the parameters are the same as in Chazy (1909) except that we have placed a subscript 1 on each. These equations were later derived from first principles in a major second-degree Painlevé classification problem by Bureau (1972).

The second system of second-degree Chazy equations arose as first integrals of a set of third-order Painlevé-type equations that Chazy (1907) had derived two years earlier. This is Chazy's system (III):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{3}+2\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-u\right)=0 \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{3}+x\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}-u \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\alpha_{2}=0,  \tag{1.23}\\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{3}+\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-u\right)^{2}+\alpha_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\beta_{2}=0, \\
&\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-\frac{2 u}{x^{2}}\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-\frac{2 u}{x}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\frac{u}{x}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{2} x^{2}\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-2 u\right)^{2}+\beta_{2} x\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-2 u\right) \\
&+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{x^{2}}\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+u\right)+\delta_{2}=0,
\end{aligned}  \tag{1.24}\\
& \begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} u}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-2 \wp(x) u\right)^{2}+4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{3}-12 \wp(x) u^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+4 \wp^{\prime}(x) u^{3} \\
\quad+\alpha_{2}\left\{\wp(x)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}-\wp^{\prime}(x) u \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\wp^{2}(x) u^{2}\right\} \\
\quad+H(x) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}-H^{\prime}(x) u+\delta_{2}=0,
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the last equation, $\wp(x)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right)$ having $g_{2}=0$. The primes on $\wp$ and $H$ denote $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. The parameter $g_{3}$ is not essential and can be normalized to any particular nonzero constant using scaling freedom in $x$ and $u$, Chazy's choice being $g_{3}=1$. The function $H(x)$ is a Lamé function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 \wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right) H(x)=0 \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chazy gave the explicit formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\beta_{2} \frac{\sigma(x+h)}{\sigma(x)} \mathrm{e}^{-x \zeta(h)}+\gamma_{2} \frac{\sigma(x-h)}{\sigma(x)} \mathrm{e}^{x \zeta(h)}, \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $-h$ are the two unique roots of the equation $\wp\left(h ; 0, g_{3}\right)=0$ in a period parallelogram centred on the origin and $\sigma(x)$ and $\zeta(x)$ are Weierstrass sigma and zeta functions corresponding to $\wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right)$.

Chazy announced that he had derived these ten equations by applying algebraic transformations to the six classical Painlevé equations, but he did not show the reader these transformations. More recently, Muğan and Sakka $(1997,1999)$ and Sakka and Muğan (1998) have taken up this idea and generated many second-degree Painlevé equations, mostly rational in the dependent variable. The five members of system (II) map, respectively, to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, and the five members of system (III) map, respectively, to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. System (III) occurs naturally in a particular Painlevé classification problem (Cosgrove and Scoufis 1993) and can be embraced under a single master Painlevé equation. This system also arises in representations of the Painlevé transcendents as ratios of entire functions or at least analytic functions having no movable singularities (Jimbo and Miwa 1981).

Without knowing the precise problem that Chazy was investigating when he generated system (II), we cannot be sure that system (II) comprises the complete solution of that problem. However, it can be shown that system (II) is complete under the following hypotheses: (a) the background class of differential equations is second-order second-degree equations for $v(z)$ that are polynomial in $v$ and $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} z$ and have the Painlevé property, (b) the square-free part on the right-hand side is quadratic in $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} z$, (c) that part has constant coefficients, and (d) the solution involves a Painlevé transcendent.

Painlevé (1902a) found equation (1.22) while expressing the $P_{I}$ transcendent as a ratio of entire functions. Equations (1.23) and (1.24) can be extracted his corresponding analysis
of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$. Later, Painlevé (1906) described how he obtained a second-degree equation for a variable $\nu(x)$ by applying a transformation to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, but there is an error in his equation (2). Chazy (1911) corrected Painlevé's result and produced a variable $t(x)$ that satisfies an equation equivalent to (1.26). Painlevé's equation (3), with $w(t)$ replacing his $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function $y(x)$, is

$$
u(t)=\frac{t(t-1)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2 w(w-1)(w-t)}-\frac{\alpha w}{t(t-1)}+\frac{\beta}{(t-1) w}+\frac{\gamma}{t(w-1)}-\frac{w^{\prime}-\delta}{w-t}
$$

$w^{\prime}$ denoting $\mathrm{d} w / \mathrm{d} t$, and Chazy's correction to Painlevé's equation (2) is

$$
\nu(t)=u+\frac{\sqrt{2 \alpha} w}{t(t-1)}
$$

Chazy's variable $t(x)$ is $v(x) / 2$. The second-degree equation for $v(t)$ can be constructed by substituting the change of variable,

$$
y=\frac{1}{4}\{2 t(t-1) v+\alpha-\sqrt{2 \alpha}-\beta-\gamma+\delta(2 t-1)\}
$$

into equation (3.13) for $y(t)$. However, the $v$ equation is not in the most elegant gauge.
Bureau (1964, 1972) attempted somewhat more ambitious Painlevé classification problems and ran into the same sets of second-degree equations. But, by then, the direct connection to the classical Painlevé equations was lost or forgotten, and Bureau was faced with the task of solving these equations from scratch. He was able to solve them all except (1.18), (1.20) and (1.21) (see also Bureau et al (1972)). Contact between system (II) and the Painlevé transcendents was reestablished by Fokas and Yortsos (1981), who found a second-degree equation gauge-equivalent to equation (1.21) and its explicit solution in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$.

Many readers today would be familiar with some or all of these ten second-degree equations, except possibly for the fact that Chazy has written some of them in a less familiar gauge. Equations equivalent to members of systems (II) and (III) appear regularly, for example, in random matrix theory and related disciplines. System (III) is equivalent to the equations that Jimbo and Miwa (1981) gave for the logarithmic derivatives of the tau functions corresponding to the Painlevé transcendents, the last two members being in a different gauge. Special cases of equation (1.26) (in a gauge closer to equation (3.13)) have appeared in relativity applications (Cosgrove 1977, Ernst 1977, Dale 1978) where the authors at the time did not realize that the sixth Painlevé transcendent was involved. Schlesinger transformations are just below the surface of Ernst (1977) and can be lifted out with a small amount of work. Since members of system (III) are integrals of third- and fourth-order equations of the first degree, they appear in group-invariant reductions of several soliton equations.

Here, we are primarily interested in the fifth members (1.21) and (1.26) of each system because they map to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. The other equations are limiting contractions of equations (1.21) and (1.26). Up to gauge, these are the only second-order second-degree Painlevé equations in the polynomial class that are solvable in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$.

On the basis of the experience of mainly Russian authors in the preceding decades, Fokas and Ablowitz (1982) gathered together the known transformation properties of the Painlevé transcendents by relating them to second-degree equations. Ever since Gambier derived equations XXXIV, XXXV, XLV and XLVII (Ince numbering) in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ and XLII in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$, it has been known that there is, in general, more than one way to carry out the reductions (because of $\pm$ signs in the formulae), and hence one can generate maps from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ to itself and maps from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ to itself. With the aid of second-degree auxiliary equations, such maps become considerably more abundant, and comprehensive symmetry maps have been constructed for all of the Painlevé transcendents except the first. Interestingly, the equations
that Fokas and Ablowitz selected for their demonstration were, up to a change of gauge, the first four equations of system (III) and the last equation of system (II). This meant that, at the time, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ did not quite fit the pattern of the other transcendents.

The four transformations (or one containing two $\pm$ signs) found by Fokas and Yortsos are Schlesinger transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ which have been given a twist by the involution (1.9). Of course, if one particular Schlesinger transformation is known (either in a pure form or mixed with simpler transformations), the full set of 24 basic Schlesinger transformations $\left(\theta_{\mu} \rightarrow \theta_{\mu} \pm 1\right.$ for exactly two of the four indices $\mu$ ), can be generated easily by elementary operations. The natural setting is the matrix function $Y(x, t)$ satisfying the linear scattering problem (Jimbo and Miwa 1981, Jimbo 1982) rather than the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function $w(t)$ itself, and complete results for 12 of the 24 Schlesinger transformations have been tabulated by Muğan and Sakka (1995a).

Pure Schlesinger transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ were known to Garnier (1943), who saw an application to a theorem of Schwarz on minimal surfaces. Garnier did not write out a particular Schlesinger transformation in $w$ explicitly, but gave a prescription for constructing 24 such transformations from Fuchs' associated linear problem (Fuchs 1905). He used the particular case given below by equations (2.6) and (2.7) as an illustration, but the reader needs to do a calculation to get the explicit map from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ to itself. With our variable $w(t)$ replacing Garnier's variable $\lambda(t)$, Garnier announced that his method would produce transformations of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=\frac{M\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+K w^{\prime}+L}{H\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+N w^{\prime}+P} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients are polynomials in $w$ and $t$. He compared his results to the relations of contiguity for hypergeometric functions. The effect on the theta parameters in the general case is given by
$\bar{\theta}_{\mu_{1}}=\theta_{\mu_{1}}+\epsilon_{\mu_{1}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{\mu_{2}}=\theta_{\mu_{2}}+\epsilon_{\mu_{2}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{\mu_{3}}=\theta_{\mu_{3}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{\mu_{4}}=\theta_{\mu_{4}}$,
where $\epsilon_{\mu_{1}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mu_{2}}$ denote $\pm 1$ independently and $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}\right)$ is any permutation of the subscripts ( $\infty, 0,1, t$ ) in equation (1.7).

Garnier devoted special attention to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ throughout his life and was aware that most results for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ had implications for some or all of the other five Painlevé transcendents. He found the asymptotics of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ near its critical points (Garnier 1916, 1917). He developed the theory of isomonodromic deformations of linear systems, originally applied to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ by Fuchs (1905), and generalized it to the Painlevé hierarchy now known as the Garnier system (Garnier 1912, 1917, 1919). He solved the Riemann-Hilbert problem for linear Fuchsian systems of differential equations and paid special attention to the associated monodromy problems for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ (Muğan and Sakka 1995b) and its hierarchy (Garnier 1926). He knew Fuchs’ elementary solution of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ expressible in terms of hypergeometric functions (Fuchs 1907, Lukashevich and Yablonskii 1967a, 1967b) and generalized it to his hierarchy (Garnier 1912, 1917). (Elementary transcendental solutions of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ were known earlier to Painlevé (1902b) and Gambier (1910), respectively.) The first modern result on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ that goes substantially further than Garnier is Jimbo's derivation of the exact connection formulae for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ (Jimbo 1982). One can speculate that if Garnier (1943) had been better known, it is possible that the infrastructure of transformation properties and elementary solutions of the classical Painlevé equations would have been worked out decades earlier in a more systematic and unified way.

## 2. The Fokas-Yortsos equation

Let us take a closer look at Chazy's equation (1.21). Choose new variables $t$ and $V(t)$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin z=\frac{1+t}{1-t}, \quad \cos z=\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{t}}{1-t}, \quad v(z)=V(t)+\frac{\mu}{4} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and new parameters $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$ and $\nu$ according to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=2 v-\kappa^{2}-\frac{1}{8} \mu^{2}, & \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{4} \kappa^{2} \mu \\
\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{256}\left(16 v+4 \kappa \mu-\mu^{2}\right)\left(16 v-4 \kappa \mu-\mu^{2}\right), & \delta_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \lambda
\end{array}
$$

(Sakka and Mugan 1998). Then equation (1.21) maps directly into the Fokas-Yortsos equation (Fokas and Yortsos 1981),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(R_{1}\right)^{2} S_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=V^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3 t-1}{2 t(t-1)} V^{\prime}+\frac{(4 V+\mu)\left(2 V^{2}+\mu V+2 v\right)-4 \kappa^{2} V}{4 t(t-1)^{2}} \\
& R_{1}=\frac{(t+1)(4 V+\mu)+2 \lambda(t-1)}{4 t(t-1)} \\
& S_{1}=\left(V^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(2 V^{2}+\mu V+2 \nu\right)^{2}-4 \kappa^{2} V^{2}}{4 t(t-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

the prime denoting $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$.
The solution of equation (2.2) in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t)=\frac{t w^{\prime}}{w}+\frac{(\lambda-\kappa-1) w}{2(t-1)}+\frac{(\lambda+\kappa+1) t}{2(t-1) w}-\frac{\lambda(t+1)}{2(t-1)}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mu}{4} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation (1.6) with parameters

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha=\frac{1}{8}(\lambda-\kappa-1)^{2}, & \beta=-\frac{1}{8}(\lambda+\kappa+1)^{2} \\
\gamma=-\frac{1}{2} \nu+\frac{1}{32}(\mu-2 \kappa)^{2}, & \delta=\frac{1}{2}(\nu+1)-\frac{1}{32}(\mu+2 \kappa)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Fokas and Yortsos observed that their equation (2.2) is even in the parameter $\kappa$, whereas the expression for $V(t)$ in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is not. Thus there are two distinct reductions from equation (2.2) to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. Because of the nonlinear parameter maps involving $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we get four distinct maps from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ to itself. These are the Fokas-Yortsos transformations. Their effect on the theta parameters is
$\bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{0}+\epsilon_{0}+1, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{\infty}+\epsilon_{\infty}-1, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{t}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{1}$,
where $\epsilon_{\infty}$ and $\epsilon_{0}$ denote $\pm 1$ independently. The transformation formula for the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function $w(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=\frac{t(w-1) N_{+} N_{-}-(w-t) D_{+} D_{-}}{(w-1) N_{+} N_{-}-(w-t) D_{+} D_{-}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{ \pm}=t(t-1) w^{\prime}+\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}(w-t)+\epsilon_{\infty}\left(\theta_{\infty}-1\right) w(w-t)+\left( \pm \theta_{t}-1\right)(t-1) w, \\
& D_{ \pm}=t(t-1) w^{\prime}+\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0} t(w-1)+\epsilon_{\infty}\left(\theta_{\infty}-1\right) w(w-1) \pm \theta_{1}(t-1) w
\end{aligned}
$$

To untwist the Fokas-Yortsos transformation and get four pure Schlesinger transformations, apply the involution (1.9) to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ after the Fokas-Yortsos transformation. This
involution acts very simply on the Fokas-Yortsos equation itself according to $\{V, \kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu\} \rightarrow$ $\{-V, \kappa,-\lambda,-\mu, \nu\}$. The effect of the composite transformation on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is the pure Schlesinger transformation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\theta_{\infty}+\epsilon_{\infty}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{0}=\theta_{0}+\epsilon_{0}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{1}=\theta_{1}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{t}=\theta_{t}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \bar{w}=\frac{t(w-1) N_{+} N_{-}-t(w-t) D_{+} D_{-}}{t(w-1) N_{+} N_{-}-(w-t) D_{+} D_{-}} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The symmetry-generating power of the Fokas-Yortsos equation can be considerably enhanced by simply removing the additive constants $\mu / 4$ and $-\mu / 4$ from the right-hand sides of equations (2.1) and (2.3). Let us take this opportunity to rename two parameters,

$$
\mu=4 \mu_{1}, \quad v=v_{1}+\left(\mu_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

Then the variable $V_{1}(t):=V(t)+\mu_{1}$ satisfies the second-degree equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(R_{2}\right)^{2} S_{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}=V_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3 t-1}{2 t(t-1)} V_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{2\left(V_{1}\right)^{3}+\left(2 \nu_{1}-\kappa^{2}\right) V_{1}+\kappa^{2} \mu_{1}}{t(t-1)^{2}} \\
& R_{2}=\frac{2(t+1) V_{1}+\lambda(t-1)}{2 t(t-1)} \\
& S_{2}=\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(\left(V_{1}\right)^{2}+v_{1}\right)^{2}-\kappa^{2}\left(V_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{t(t-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is invariant under the parameter maps,

$$
\bar{\lambda}=\lambda, \quad \bar{\mu}_{1}=\frac{\kappa^{2} \mu_{1}}{\bar{\kappa}^{2}}, \quad \bar{\nu}_{1}=v_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\kappa}^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right)
$$

where $\bar{\kappa}$ satisfies the sextic equation,

$$
\left(\bar{\kappa}^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right)\left\{\bar{\kappa}^{4}+\left(4 \nu_{1}-\kappa^{2}\right) \bar{\kappa}^{2}+4 \kappa^{2} \mu_{1}^{2}\right\}=0
$$

The root $\bar{\kappa}=-\kappa$ gives the four Fokas-Yortsos transformations above.
The four roots of the quartic factor yield the following 16 Okamoto transformations (Okamoto 1987). Let $\epsilon_{\infty}, \epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{t}$ denote $\pm 1$ independently, and also independently of any previous usage. The theta parameters transform according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\theta}_{\infty}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}+\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}+\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1}+\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}+1-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \\
& \bar{\theta}_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}+\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}-\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1}-\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}+1-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
& \bar{\theta}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}-\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}+\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1}-\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}+1-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \\
& \bar{\theta}_{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}-\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}-\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1}+\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}+1-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function $w(t)$ transforms according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=w+N / D \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}-\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}-\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1}-\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}+1-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) w(w-1)(w-t), \\
& D=t(t-1) w^{\prime}+\epsilon_{0} \theta_{0}(w-1)(w-t)+\epsilon_{1} \theta_{1} w(w-t)+\left(\epsilon_{t} \theta_{t}-1\right) w(w-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Forty-eight additional transformations of this type can be constructed by composition with the involutions (1.9)-(1.11). (Of course, we can also iterate with the transformations (1.12)(1.16), but we do not get any new Okamoto transformations if we restrict attention to results with $\bar{t}=t$.)

The 64 Okamoto transformations, being of degree 1 in $w^{\prime}$, are simpler than the Schlesinger and Fokas-Yortsos transformations, which are of degree 2 in $w^{\prime}$. The latter can each be factorized into two Okamoto transformations. Conversely, compositions of two Okamoto transformations can yield transformations of degree up to 4 in $w^{\prime}$. Under Painlevé's contractions, the Okamoto transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ carry down to the Gromak transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ (Gromak 1976a, 1976b) and the Gambier-Lukashevich transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\text {IV }}$ (Gambier 1910, Lukashevich 1967a, 1967b, Bureau 1980).

In the appendix, we solve Chazy's equation (1.21) directly in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ without using the Fokas-Yortsos equation as an intermediate step. It will be noted that the induced group of symmetries of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ that leaves equation (1.21) invariant is larger than the group that leaves equation (2.8) invariant because there are several distinct maps from equation (1.21) to (2.8).

## 3. Master Painlevé equations

The sixth Painlevé equation (1.6) is a 'master Painlevé equation' in the sense that particular limiting contractions of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ yield 25 of the 50 equations in Gambier's and Ince's lists (Gambier 1910, Ince 1926). These equations have Ince numbers I, II, III, IV ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ), VII, VIII, IX ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }}$ ), XI, XII, XIII ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ ), XVII with $m=2$, XVIII, XIX, XX, XXIX, XXX, XXXI ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ ), XXXII, XXXIII, XXXIV, XXXVII, XXXVIII, XXXIX ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ ), XLIX and L ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ ). The contractions from $P_{V I}$ to the other five Painlevé equations are well known (Painlevé 1906, Ince 1926). These can be supplemented by a contraction from $P_{I V}$ to Ince-XXXIV (Kitaev 1992) and two different contractions of Ince-XXXIV yielding $P_{I}$ and Ince-XX. The other 17 equations involve elliptic or simpler functions.

To get Ince-XLIX (Gambier's 48th equation), replace $t$ by $a+\epsilon t$ in $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ and suitably scale the parameters. The limiting equation as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{w}+\right. & \left.\frac{1}{w-1}+\frac{1}{w-a}\right\}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +w(w-1)(w-a)\left\{\alpha+\frac{\beta}{w^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{(w-1)^{2}}+\frac{\delta}{(w-a)^{2}}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By suitably generalizing the gauge in Ince-XLIX, we can capture 17 of the 25 contractions of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ by just taking particular values of the parameters. Let $P(w)$ and $Q(w)$ be arbitrary polynomials in $w$ of degree at most 4 with constant coefficients, $P$ being not identically zero. Then the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{P^{\prime}(w)}{2 P(w)}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{P(w) Q^{\prime}(w)-Q(w) P^{\prime}(w)}{P(w)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with first integral, $\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}=K P(w)+2 Q(w)$, has the Painlevé property. Only four of its ten parameters are essential. When $P(w)$ is either cubic or quartic with no square factors, equation (3.2) is equivalent to Ince-XLIX under a Möbius transformation (1.8) with constant coefficients. It is the standard form (3.1) of Ince-XLIX when $P(w)=w(w-1)(w-a)$. When $P(w)$ has square factors or is of lower degree, equation (3.2) separates into equations equivalent to the other 16 equations and includes each of their standard forms. In Painlevé classification problems, we call an equation like (3.2) a master Painlevé equation because it embraces several classification subcases at once (see Cosgrove (1997)). A different usage of the term applies to equations (3.4) and (3.14).

It is instructive to see how far we can rewrite $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ in a general gauge. Let

$$
P(t, w)=A(t) w^{4}+B(t) w^{3}+C(t) w^{2}+D(t) w+E(t)
$$

where the five coefficient functions are arbitrary except that $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are not both zero and $P(t, w)$ has no square factors in $w$. Construct the relative invariants,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}(t)=12 A E-3 B D+C^{2} \\
& J_{2}(t)=27 A D^{2}-72 A C E-9 B C D+27 B^{2} E+2 C^{3}, \\
& J_{3}(t)=\frac{4\left(J_{1}\right)^{3}-\left(J_{2}\right)^{2}}{27} \\
& J_{4}(t)=\frac{1}{27}\left(3 J_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} J_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}-2 J_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} J_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right) \\
& J_{5}(t)=\frac{1}{J_{4}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} J_{4}}{\mathrm{~d} t}-\frac{1}{J_{3}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} J_{3}}{\mathrm{~d} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The invariant $J_{3}$ is $A^{6}$ times the discriminant of the quartic $P(t, w)$ when $A \neq 0$ and is $B^{6}$ times the discriminant of the cubic $P(t, w)$ when $A=0$. Under the stated hypotheses, $J_{3}$ does not vanish. The nonvanishing of $J_{4}$ is a separate hypothesis, the case $J_{4}=0$ yielding Ince-XLIX in a general gauge instead of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. Under a gauge change of the form (1.8), $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ can be transformed into the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{P_{w}(t, w)}{2 P(t, w)}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left\{\frac{P_{t}(t, w)}{P(t, w)}+J_{5}(t)\right\} w^{\prime}+\frac{R(t, w)}{P(t, w)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts $t$ and $w$ denote partial differentiation and $R(t, w)$ is a polynomial in $w$ of degree 6 , in general, but can be of lower degree in particular cases. Unfortunately, the coefficients of $R(t, w)$ cannot be expressed in terms of symmetric functions of the roots of $P(t, w)$, except in the elementary Picard case $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\delta=1 / 2$, are so are rather complicated. Also, because $J_{3}$ appears on the denominator, the reductions to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ in a general gauge, and so on, where $P(t, w)$ has square factors, requires special handling. We see that equation (3.3) does not fulfil the role of a master Painlevé equation that crosses classification boundaries quite as well as equation (3.2) or some of the rational second-degree equations in Cosgrove (1997).

Let us now take a closer look at the fifth member of Chazy's system (III). This equation appears in the literature in two qualitatively different gauges, with internal variations within each. Chazy's original gauge, in which elliptic and Lamé functions appear in the coefficients, occurs naturally in the Painlevé classification of third-order equations (Chazy 1911, Bureau 1964, Cosgrove 2000).

Of the 13 canonical types of third-order equations appearing in Chazy (1911), the first is identified by the reduced equation,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} U}{\mathrm{~d} x^{3}}=-6\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}
$$

and corresponding full equation,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} U}{\mathrm{~d} x^{3}}=-6\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}+A_{1}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} U}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+B_{1}(x) U \frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}+C_{1}(x) U^{3} \\
+D_{1}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}+E_{1}(x) U^{2}+F_{1}(x) U+G_{1}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

whose coefficients are to be determined by running standard Painlevé tests. An admissible choice of gauge is $A_{1}(x)=0$ and $E_{1}(x)=D_{1}(x)$. Then the compatibility conditions in the Laurent expansion about a movable simple pole (resonances 1 and 6) force $B_{1}(x)=C_{1}(x)=0$ and supply three differential constraints on the remaining coefficients.

The final form of the Chazy-I equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} U}{\mathrm{~d} x^{3}}=6\left\{-\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}+A(x)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}+U^{2}\right)+B(x) U+C(x)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient functions $A(x), B(x)$ and $C(x)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime \prime}=6 A^{2}, \quad B^{\prime \prime}=6 A B, \quad C^{\prime \prime}=B^{2}+2 A C \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the primes on $A, B$ and $C$ denoting $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. This is the most compact of several alternative forms of the Chazy-I equation. It appears in this form in Chazy (1911) and in a closely related gauge in Chazy (1907). It contains all of system (III) and all of the Painlevé transcendents in their full generality after integration. Because of the latter property, we call it a 'master Painlevé equation', this being a different usage of the phrase to that above. Up to a translation in $x, A(x)$ is one of the following three functions:

$$
\wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right), \quad 1 / x^{2}, \quad 0 .
$$

As already mentioned, we could use some left over gauge freedom to normalize $g_{3}$. A translation in $U$ could also be used to remove one of the constants in $B(x)$. Thus only three of the six parameters in equation (3.4) are essential.

The first case, where $A(x)$ is a Weierstrass elliptic function, integrates up to an equation equivalent to (1.26) and can be solved in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. The second case integrates up to an equation equivalent to (1.25) and can be solved in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ and/or $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}$. The third case subdivides into equations that integrate up to (1.22)-(1.24) and can be solved in terms of $P_{I}, P_{\text {II }}$ or $P_{\text {IV }}$ functions, respectively.

A first integral of (3.4) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} U}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-2 A U\right. & -B)^{2}=-4\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{3}+12 A U^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}-4 A^{\prime} U^{3}+4 A\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(12 B-4 A^{\prime}\right) U \frac{\mathrm{~d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\left(4 A^{2}-6 B^{\prime}\right) U^{2}+\left(12 C-2 B^{\prime}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} x} \\
& +\left(4 A B-12 C^{\prime}\right) U+B^{2}-12 \int B C \mathrm{~d} x+K \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can easily express the variables and coefficient functions in equation (1.26) in terms of those in equation (3.6) or vice versa when $A(x)=\wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right)$ with $g_{3} \neq 0$. Two first integrals of the $A$ and $B$ equations (3.5) are

$$
\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 A^{3}-g_{3}, \quad A B^{\prime}-B A^{\prime}=k_{1}
$$

The variables $u(x)$ and $U(x)$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U-U_{0}, \quad U_{0}=\frac{k_{1} A^{\prime}-g_{3} B}{2 g_{3} A} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U_{0}$ satisfies $\mathrm{d} U_{0} / \mathrm{d} x=k_{1} A / g_{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{2} U_{0} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}-2 A U_{0}=B$. The Lamé function $H(x)$ satisfying (1.26) and the integral in (3.6) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\frac{3 g_{3}\left(B^{2}-4 A C\right)+3 k_{1}^{2}}{g_{3} A} \\
& \int B C \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{12 g_{3} A^{3}}\left\{6 A^{2} C^{\prime}\left(g_{3} B-k_{1} A^{\prime}\right)+12 k_{1} A^{4} C\right. \\
& \left.\quad-A^{\prime} B\left(g_{3} B^{2}-3 k_{1}^{2}\right)+3 k_{1}\left(A^{3}-g_{3}\right) B^{2}+k_{1}^{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we selected a particular integration constant. Then the constants $\alpha_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}$ in equation (1.26) are given by

$$
\alpha_{2}=\frac{12 k_{1}}{g_{3}}-4, \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{2}\left(5 k_{1}+g_{3}\right)}{g_{3}^{2}}-K
$$

The most useful form of equation (1.26) is one with the same independent variable $t$ as the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function $w(t)$. Suppose that $u(x)$ satisfies equation (1.26) and write $A(x)=\wp\left(x ; 0, g_{3}\right)$ as above. Let $\mu$ be one of the roots of $\mu^{6}=g_{3} / 27$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{3 \mu} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t^{2 / 3}(t-1)^{2 / 3}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse $t(x)$ is the elliptic function $\left\{1+\wp^{\prime}(\mu x ; 0,-1)\right\} / 2$. The elliptic function $A(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(x)=\frac{\mu^{2}\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)}{t^{2 / 3}(t-1)^{2 / 3}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& A^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\mu^{3}(t+1)(t-2)(2 t-1)}{t(t-1)} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The Lamé function $H(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\frac{D_{1} t+D_{2}}{t^{1 / 3}(t-1)^{1 / 3}}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are constants linearly related to $\beta_{2}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. The solution $u(x)$ of equation (1.26) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{\mu\left(72 y-\alpha_{2}(2 t-1)\right)}{24 t^{1 / 3}(t-1)^{1 / 3}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variable $y(t)$ satisfies the second-degree equation,
$t^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=-4 y^{\prime}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)\left\{(t-1) y^{\prime}-y\right\}+A_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{2}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)+A_{3} y^{\prime}+A_{4}$.

This is precisely the equation denoted by SD-I.a in Cosgrove and Scoufis (1993). The coefficients are given in terms of $\alpha_{2}, \delta_{2}, D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{6}, \quad A_{2}=-\frac{\mu^{2} D_{1}}{3 g_{3}}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{144}-\frac{\mu^{2} D_{2}}{3 g_{3}} \\
& A_{4}=\frac{\mu^{2} \alpha_{2}\left(D_{1}+2 D_{2}\right)}{216 g_{3}}-\frac{\delta_{2}}{27 g_{3}}-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{3}}{11664} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation SD-I.a is gauge-equivalent to the generic case of the ten-parameter equation denoted by SD-I:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=-4\left\{c_{1} t^{3}+c_{2} t^{2}+c_{3} t+c_{4}\right\}^{-2}\left\{c_{1}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)^{3}+c_{2} y^{\prime}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)^{2}\right. \\
&+c_{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)+c_{4}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}+c_{5}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)^{2}+c_{6} y^{\prime}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right) \\
&\left.+c_{7}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c_{8}\left(t y^{\prime}-y\right)+c_{9} y^{\prime}+c_{10}\right\} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(Differentiating out the parameter $c_{10}$ produces a nine-parameter version of the Chazy-I equation (Cosgrove 2000).) The generic case occurs when the polynomial $c_{1} t^{3}+c_{2} t^{2}+c_{3} t+c_{4}$ is either cubic or quadratic with no square factors, in which case it can be normalized to $t(t-1)$ by a gauge transformation (Möbius in $t$, linear in $y$ ). This case involves $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. The generic and nongeneric cases together involve all six Painlevé transcendents in their full
generality. Conversely, every case of equation SD-I can be solved with one of the six Painlevé transcendents or simpler functions. Thus SD-I qualifies unconditionally as a master Painlevé equation in the second sense.

To solve equation SD-I.a in terms of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ (Jimbo and Miwa 1981, Jimbo 1982), let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\alpha-\beta+\gamma-\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+1, \\
& A_{2}=(\beta+\gamma)(\alpha+\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha}) \\
& A_{3}=(\gamma-\beta)(\alpha-\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+1)+\frac{1}{4}(\alpha-\beta-\gamma+\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha})^{2}, \\
& A_{4}=\frac{1}{4}(\gamma-\beta)(\alpha+\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha})^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(\beta+\gamma)^{2}(\alpha-\delta-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sqrt{2 \alpha}$ can take either sign. Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{rl}
\begin{array}{rl}
y= & \frac{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}{4 w(w-1)(w-t)}\left\{w^{\prime}-\frac{w(w-1)}{t(t-1)}\right\}^{2}
\end{array} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{8}(1-\sqrt{2 \alpha})^{2}(1-2 w)-\frac{1}{4} \beta\left(1-\frac{2 t}{w}\right)
\end{array} \\
\quad-\frac{1}{4} \gamma\left(1-\frac{2(t-1)}{w-1}\right)+\frac{1}{8}(1-2 \delta)\left(1-\frac{2 t(w-1)}{w-t}\right),
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=-\frac{t(t-1)}{4 w(w-1)}\left\{w^{\prime}-\sqrt{2 \alpha} \frac{w(w-1)}{t(t-1)}\right\}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta \frac{w-t}{(t-1) w}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma \frac{w-t}{t(w-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w(t)$ is a solution of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation (1.6). The inverse map is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-\frac{t S+8(\sqrt{2 \alpha}-1) t^{2}(t-1)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}}{R} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=16(\sqrt{2 \alpha}-1)^{2} t(t-1) y^{\prime}+\{4 y-(\alpha-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+\delta)(2 t-1)+\beta+\gamma\}^{2} \\
&+8(\sqrt{2 \alpha}-1)^{2}(\beta t+\gamma t-\gamma),  \tag{3.18}\\
& S=4(t-1)\left\{4 y-(\alpha-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+\delta)(2 t-1)-2(\sqrt{2 \alpha}-1)^{2}+\beta+\gamma\right\} y^{\prime} \\
&-(4 y-\alpha+\sqrt{2 \alpha}-\delta)\{4 y-(\alpha-\sqrt{2 \alpha}+\delta)(2 t-1)\} \\
&-(\beta+\gamma)\{8 y+2(3 \alpha-3 \sqrt{2 \alpha}-\delta+2) t+\beta+\gamma\} \\
&-4(\beta-\gamma)(\sqrt{2 \alpha}-1)^{2} . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation SD-I.a (3.13) was written in a manifestly symmetric form by Jimbo and Miwa (1981) and Jimbo (1982) which reveals immediately a large number of symmetries of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ function, including the Schlesinger and Okamoto transformations (Okamoto 1987). Introduce Jimbo and Miwa's theta parameters given by equation (1.7) and specifically set $\sqrt{2 \alpha}=1-\theta_{\infty}$. Then Jimbo and Miwa's presentation of equation SD-I.a (with different names for variables) is
$t^{2}(t-1)^{2} y^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=-\left\{(2 t-1)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 y y^{\prime}+M\right\}^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}+m_{1}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+m_{2}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+m_{3}\right)\left(y^{\prime}+m_{4}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
m_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\theta_{\infty}+\theta_{t}\right)^{2}, & m_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\theta_{\infty}-\theta_{t}\right)^{2} \\
m_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right)^{2}, & m_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right)^{2} \\
M=\frac{1}{16}\left(\theta_{\infty}+\theta_{t}\right)\left(\theta_{\infty}-\theta_{t}\right)\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right)\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Symmetries of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ parameters that leave equation (3.20) invariant jump off the page at the reader. We can see obvious permutations of $\pm \theta_{\infty} \pm \theta_{t}$ and $\pm \theta_{0} \pm \theta_{1}$ that permute the $m_{i}$ and preserve the overall sign of $M$. It is a straightforward calculation to lift the parameter maps to maps from $w(t)$ to itself. By combining with the group of 24 Möbius transformations in $w$ generated by (1.9)-(1.16), an infinite number of transformations can be generated, all of which are products of Okamoto and simpler transformations.

We distinguish three basic types of symmetries that leave SD-I.a invariant. First, sign changes $\theta_{\mu} \rightarrow-\theta_{\mu}$ are trivial and have no effect on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ for $\mu=0,1$ and $t$. Nevertheless, they are important in compositions with other transformations. The sign change $\theta_{\infty} \rightarrow-\theta_{\infty}$, on the other hand, generates a transformation of degree 4 in $w^{\prime}$ which has the same effect on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ as the Schlesinger transformations $\theta_{\infty} \rightarrow \theta_{\infty} \pm 2$. These can be factorized into two basic Schlesinger transformations of the form (2.7) or into two Fokas-Yortsos transformations or four Okamoto transformations.

Second, the four theta parameters can undergo two disjoint interchanges. This gives rise to 12 transformations for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ of degree 2 in $w^{\prime}$ which are of the same character as the Fokas-Yortsos transformations, and include the latter. As above, we prefer to untwist these transformations using the involutions (1.9)-(1.11). We then get all 24 of the basic Schlesinger transformations, whose effect on the theta parameters is given by equation (1.30).

Third, we get eight of the sixteen Okamoto transformations given above by equations (2.9) and (2.10). The eight are identified by $\epsilon_{\infty}=+1$. To get the other eight with $\epsilon_{\infty}=-1$, we just set $\sqrt{2 \alpha}=\theta_{\infty}-1$. The Fokas-Yortsos transformations are products of two Okamoto transformations.

Finally, changing the sign of $\bar{\theta}_{\infty}$ in (2.9) yields sixteen transformations (eight with $\sqrt{2 \alpha}=1-\theta_{\infty}$ and eight with $\sqrt{2 \alpha}=\theta_{\infty}-1$ ) that factorize into three Okamoto transformations. All of the transformations mapping $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ to itself that leave SD-I.a invariant can be factorized into one, two, three or four Okamoto transformations.

## Appendix. Solutions of Chazy's system (II)

We gather together the solutions of the five second-degree equations of Chazy's system (II). A corresponding set of solutions of system (III) is readily available (Jimbo and Miwa 1981, Cosgrove and Scoufis 1993). For convenience as a reference, we include an optional scaling parameter $A$ in the first four equations. The symbols $\epsilon_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$ each denote $\pm 1$. The primes on $w$ denote $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$.

The first Chazy equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-6 v^{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}=4 A^{2} z^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-4 v^{3}-2 \alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right\} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q$ be any root of the cubic equation,

$$
4 q^{3}+2 \alpha_{1} q+\beta_{1}=0
$$

and let

$$
\alpha=\frac{3 q+2 \epsilon_{1} A}{2 A}, \quad \beta=\frac{3 q^{2}+2 \alpha_{1}}{2 A^{2}}
$$

Then the solution of equation (A.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=A^{-1 / 2} t, \quad v(z)=\frac{1}{2} A\left(\epsilon_{1} w^{\prime}+w^{2}+2 t w\right)-\frac{1}{2} q, \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ equation (1.4) with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

The multiplicity of values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ implies several direct maps from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IV}}$. These include the Gambier-Lukashevich and Schlesinger transformations. Similar comments apply to the remaining cases below.

The second Chazy equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-2 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=-4\left(v-A \mathrm{e}^{z}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-v^{4}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be any two distinct roots of the quartic equation,

$$
q^{4}+\alpha_{1} q^{2}+2 \beta_{1} q+\gamma_{1}=0
$$

and let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=q_{1}+q_{2}, & \alpha=\left(r^{3}+2 \alpha_{1} r-4 \epsilon_{1} \beta_{1}\right) /(8 r), \\
\beta=-\left(r^{3}+2 \alpha_{1} r+4 \epsilon_{1} \beta_{1}\right) /(8 r), & \gamma=2 A\left(\epsilon_{1} r-i\right), \quad \delta=2 A^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Then the solution of equation (A.3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\log t, \quad v(z)=\frac{t\left(\mathrm{i} w^{\prime}-2 A w\right)}{(w-1)^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon_{1} r(w+1)}{2(w-1)} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ equation (1.5) with the indicated parameters. (If $r=0$ then $\beta_{1}=0$ and the above expressions hold except that $\alpha$ and $-\beta$ become the roots of the quadratic equation $4 x^{2}-2 \alpha_{1} x+\gamma_{1}=0$.)

The third Chazy equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{4 A^{2} v^{2}}{z^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the primed version of equation SD-III in Cosgrove and Scoufis (1993). When $\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are not both zero, let $q$ be any root of the quadratic equation (linear if $\alpha_{1}=0$ ),

$$
\alpha_{1} q^{2}+2 \beta_{1} q+\gamma_{1}=0
$$

and let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma=\mu^{2}, & \alpha=-\mu\left(2 \epsilon_{1} A q+1\right), \\
\beta=\mu\left\{4 \epsilon_{1} A \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}\left(2 \epsilon_{1} A q+1\right)\right\}, & \delta=-\mu^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2},
\end{array}
$$

where $\mu$ is another optional scaling parameter. The solution of equation (A.5) when $\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are not both zero is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=2 \mu t, \quad v(z)=\frac{\epsilon_{1} t}{2 A}\left\{\frac{w^{\prime}-\mu \alpha_{1}}{w}+\mu w\right\} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ equation (1.3) with the indicated parameters. When $\alpha_{1}=0$ and $\beta_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are not both zero, the solution of equation (A.5) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=t, \quad v(z)=\frac{\epsilon_{1} t}{2 A}\left\{\frac{w^{\prime}}{w}+\beta_{1} w\right\} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {III }}$ equation with parameters,

$$
\gamma=\beta_{1}^{2}, \quad \alpha=\epsilon_{1} A \gamma_{1}-\beta_{1}, \quad \beta=\epsilon_{1} A, \quad \delta=0
$$

On the overlap of the two cases, the solutions given differ by scalings.
The fourth Chazy equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-6 v^{2}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{2 A v}{z}-\frac{z}{A}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-4 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q$ be any root of the cubic equation,

$$
4 q^{3}+\alpha_{1} q^{2}+2 \beta_{1} q+\gamma_{1}=0
$$

and let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha=-\frac{A^{2}\left\{\left(12 q+\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+4\left(24 \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}}{384}, & \beta=-\frac{\left\{A\left(4 q+\alpha_{1}\right)-4 \epsilon_{1}\right\}^{2}}{128}, \\
\gamma=\frac{2 A q-\epsilon_{1}}{2 A}, & \delta=-\frac{1}{2 A^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

The solution of equation (A.8) is
$z=\sqrt{2 t}, \quad v(z)=\frac{t\left(\epsilon_{1} A w^{\prime}+w\right)}{A^{2} w(w-1)}-\frac{4 q+\alpha_{1}}{8}+\frac{A\left(4 q+\alpha_{1}\right)-4 \epsilon_{1}}{8 A w}$,
where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$ equation (1.5) with the indicated parameters.
The fifth Chazy equation is
$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} v}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}-2 v^{3}-\alpha_{1} v-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}=4 \tan ^{2} z\left(v-\frac{\delta_{1}}{\sin z}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{2}-v^{4}-\alpha_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta_{1} v-\gamma_{1}\right\}$.

Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be any two distinct roots of the quartic equation,

$$
q^{4}+\alpha_{1} q^{2}+2 \beta_{1} q+\gamma_{1}=0
$$

and let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=q_{1}+q_{2}, & \alpha=\left(r+2 \epsilon_{2} \delta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right)^{2} / 8, \\
\beta=-\left(r-2 \epsilon_{2} \delta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right)^{2} / 8, & \gamma=-\left(r^{3}+2 \alpha_{1} r-4 \beta_{1}\right) /(8 r), \\
\delta=\left\{r^{3}+2\left(\alpha_{1}+2\right) r+4 \beta_{1}\right\} /(8 r) . &
\end{array}
$$

Then the solution of equation (A.10) is
$\sin z=\epsilon_{2} \frac{1+t}{1-t}, \quad \cos z=\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \sqrt{t}}{1-t}$,
$v(z)=\frac{\epsilon_{1} t w^{\prime}}{w}+\frac{\left(r+2 \epsilon_{2} \delta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right) w}{2(t-1)}-\frac{\left(r-2 \epsilon_{2} \delta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}\right) t}{2(t-1) w}-\frac{2 \epsilon_{2} \delta_{1}(t+1)}{2(t-1)}-\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{2}$,
where $w(t)$ satisfies the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ equation (1.6) with the indicated parameters. (If $r=0$ then $\beta_{1}=0$ and the above expressions hold except that $\gamma$ and $1 / 2-\delta$ become the roots of the quadratic equation $4 x^{2}+2 \alpha_{1} x+\gamma_{1}=0$.)

The multiplicity of values of $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ and the various $\pm$ signs imply a large number of transformations from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. These include the sixteen Okamoto transformations (2.10), the four Schlesinger transformations (2.7), the four Fokas-Yortsos transformations (2.5) and the involutions (1.9), (1.13) and $(t, w) \rightarrow(1 / t, 1 / w)$.
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